

Robert Burns

From: Robert Burns <robert@auctionsellsfast.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 3:00 PM
To: 'Craig'
Subject: FW: I Always Appreciate it When Someone Makes a Damn Fool of Themselves, So Thanks So Much for Today's Performance, Mr. Gaudin

From: Todd Gaudin <todd@myonpointlegal.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 5:03 PM
To: Robert Burns <robert@auctionsellsfast.com>
Subject: RE: I Always Appreciate it When Someone Makes a Damn Fool of Themselves, So Thanks So Much for Today's Performance, Mr. Gaudin

Thank you Mr. Burns. I am interested . . . but I need to verify how my rules of ethics may apply. I was the Mills' attorney during the failed placement and have personal knowledge of what happened and when it happened. I cannot divulge any information that may be confidential . . . unless the Mills agree, which I doubt they would. However, so as not to appear as though I'm dodging the invitation, I will explore whether I can ethically explain why you are being deceived (based on the one video sent to me). I don't know yet if I could give feedback generically or hypothetically?

The reason I even bother with this is because I do not like it when innocent people are unjustly accused. The video I saw included misleading conclusions and I care about the integrity of attorneys and adoptions. Does adoption fraud exist? Yes. But knowing what constitutes fraud and who are the fraudulent actors is important when trying to recognize it in the future.

I'll get back to you if I'm able to accept your invitation and what my parameters will be.

From: Robert Burns [<mailto:robert@auctionsellsfast.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 3, 2017 4:01 PM
To: Todd Gaudin
Subject: RE: I Always Appreciate it When Someone Makes a Damn Fool of Themselves, So Thanks So Much for Today's Performance, Mr. Gaudin

Hi Again Mr. Gaudin:

Regarding your four-word sentence below: "You are being deceived," I believe in total disclosure and transparency, hence my adamant stand on the camera regarding public bodies.

To that end, I want to extend to you an invitation to state to all the *Sound Off Louisiana* viewership exactly how I have been deceived. Thus, I would like for us to schedule a mutually-agreeable time and place for me to videotape you demonstrating your contention entailing me having been deceived. In doing so, I make the following commitments to you:

1. The video can be as long or as short as you would like, and **NOT ONE SECOND** of it will be edited out.
2. I will place hyperlinks to any and all documents you wish to reference in demonstrating your point for all viewers to see the document substantiation you wish to provide to them.

During the height of the Edmonson Amendment scandal, I made the same offer I am extending to you to five (5) members of the Louisiana State Police Retirement System said to be stonewalling holding a meeting to deal with the Edmonson Amendment: http://www.lspripoff.com/Video_letter_LSP_5_Members.pdf. They declined, but I'm truly hoping that you will not.

Feel free to contact me either by reply to this email or on my cell phone, and let's set the time and date for a meeting at which I will give you the camera coverage (**TOTALLY** unedited and for as long or short as you desire) to present your case on how I have been deceived.

Thank you, Mr. Gaudin, and I very much look forward to hearing back from you and us scheduling a time for the meeting.

Sincerely,

Robert Edwin Burns, founder, Sound Off Louisiana

4155 Essen Lane, Ste 228

Baton Rouge, LA 70809-2152

(225) 235-4346

www.soundoffla.com

From: Todd Gaudin [<mailto:todd@myonpointlegal.com>]

Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2017 8:02 PM

To: Robert Burns <robert@auctionsellfast.com>

Subject: Re: I Always Appreciate it When Someone Makes a Damn Fool of Themselves, So Thanks So Much for Today's Performance, Mr. Gaudin

Hi mr. Burns. I was referring to the issue of whether our group is actually a public body. I assumed it was not because it is a group of professionals who volunteer and are not appointed to improve our laws by discussing their merits. I think everyone was taken aback by your offensive attitude. Have we done something to offend you? Who has hurt you to make you be so rude? I wanted to respond to your message by telling you what I really thought of your rudeness but decided with a lot of effort against it. I can tell you that whatever your motive for carrying on the issue that Craig Mills is championing, is error. You are being deceived. You are spreading misinformation and this month's information is hurting other innocent people. I hope you care that you are doing a disservice to the real truth and not just using the message to try to get business. If you really want to know what actually happened, let me know. I don't agree that the means justify the ends. Perpetrating a wrong even if with good intentions to try to Rectify another wrong is still wrong. For future reference, if all you want to do is be rude to me and not objective, please do not contact me again. If you want to be civil and

appropriate, if I am able to speak to you in more detail ethically, I would consider doing that.

Sent from my Verizon ASUS Smartphone

----- Original Message -----

From: Robert Burns

Sent: Fri, 29 Sep 2017 16:58:58 -0500

To: Todd Gaudin

Subject: I Always Appreciate it When Someone Makes a Damn Fool of Themselves, So Thanks So Much for Today's Performance, Mr. Gaudin

Mr. Gaudin:

It always thrills me when I videotape public meetings (as I tend to do quite a bit notwithstanding your strong opposition earlier today) and someone makes a damn fool of themselves the way you did today.

Judge Ernestine Gray, <https://nola.gov/juvenile-court/about/judges/judges-work-bios/judge-gray/>, made known that she individually objected to being videotaped. As you're aware, I told her she could do nothing about it and that it was basically part of the gig upon signing up to be a member of a public body.

Her statement, which only made her seem foolish given that she **SHOULD** know she can object all she wants to and, as I later said, "then you have the option of leaving the meeting," was nevertheless upstaged by your **PRICELESS** statement: "**I don't agree with your interpretation of the statute.**"

First, I intentionally gave you the wrong statute number as a test to see if you would correct me. You failed (perhaps you don't know it as well as you led the folks in attendance to believe) Now, I'll give you a link for the correct statute, <http://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/Law.aspx?p=y&d=99525>. I now ask you to please specify which section of that rather short, succinct, **CRYSTAL CLEAR** statute that you believe that I have misinterpreted. Just a little unsolicited guidance from me. I would suggest you stick with being an adoption attorney because it is clear you don't have a clue about Louisiana's Open Meetings Laws!

You have an enjoyable weekend, and thank you so much for the priceless quote you made in the meeting!

Robert Edwin Burns, founder, Sound Off Louisiana

4155 Essen Lane, Ste 228

Baton Rouge, LA 70809-2152

(225) 636-5506

www.soundoffla.com