

From: [Robert Burns](#)
To: ["dahugo@me.com"](mailto:dahugo@me.com)
Cc: ["lwhitten@lincolnparish.org"](mailto:lwhitten@lincolnparish.org)
Subject: Availability (or Lack Thereof) of State's Expert Witnesses for Upcoming Ronald Greene Trial
Date: Monday, October 7, 2024 8:32:00 AM
Attachments: [Greene_EW_Subpoenas.pdf](#)

Mr. Holland:

I hope you have been doing well.

I am in the process of reviewing court filings to produce a feature leading up to the October 28, 2024 trial of Mr. York.

That process has been one of the easiest tasks in terms of reading pre-trial filings of any trial that I've ever covered.

Having said that, one thing that I did find intriguing is the attached filings of subpoenas issued to the State's expert witnesses, all of whom reside outside the State of Louisiana. With that in mind, let me ask you just a few quick questions:

1. Since these are the State's expert witnesses, it would seem there would be little (or no) need to issue subpoenas given that one would think they would voluntarily appear on behalf of the State for their respective testimonies. Can you therefore elaborate on the rationale behind issuing the subpoenas?
2. Was there ever any point at which video depositions were taken with any of the expert witnesses that may be utilized at trial?
3. If the answer to #2) above is "no," and, given the fact that all three subpoenas have the hand-written notation of, "returned to DA," will the State have the benefit of these expert witnesses at trial or will the State be stymied by an inability to compel their testimony?

Thank you, and I look forward to hearing back from you before I publish the feature. Any response you provide will of course be incorporated into the feature.

Robert Edwin Burns, founder and author
SOUND OFF LOUISIANA
(225) 235-4346
Robert@SoundOffLA.com

