

Tuesday, 7/30/13

ISN'T A STATE GRAND JURY THAT'S TAKING ANY
LEGITIMATE EVIDENCE.

I'M TELLING YOU, I DON'T BELIEVE THEY'VE CALLED
A WITNESS. THEY GUY THEY CALLED HERE THE OTHER
DAY, TOLD YOU AFTER THEY HAD MADE UP ABOUT SIX,
AND I'M NOT GOING TO REFLOW THAT GROUND - SIX
DIFFERENT REASONS THAT THEY NEEDED YOU TO STOP
DISCOVERY. THEIR WITNESS TOOK AWAY ALL SIX. HE
SAID NONE OF THAT'S HAPPENED. I'M NOT CONCERNED
ABOUT ANY OF THAT, NONE OF IT AT ALL. HE SAID, "ALL I
KNOW IS WE GOT A FAX LETTER FROM ATLANTA AND WE
GAVE IT TO CHRIS NICHOLS". NOW, THAT'S PUBLIC
RECORD.

THE TRUTH IS WE SHOULD HAVE HAD THAT A LONG
TIME AGO. THAT'S BEEN A PUBLIC RECORD. THEY FILED
AN APPEAL. THEY DID NOT EVEN ASK FOR AN EXPEDITED
HEARING, I HAD TO DO THAT. THEY ARE NOT DOING
ANYTHING AND TO STAND UP HERE AND DEBEAN GOOD
PEOPLE WITH THINGS LIKE WITNESS TAMPERING - THAT'S
NOT A LAWYER I'M FAMILIAR WITH, AND THAT'S NOT AN
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE OR A STATE GOVERNMENT
THAT I WOULD BE PROUD TO DEFEND OR SIT WITH. I DON'T
MEAN THAT BECAUSE I'M LOUD, BECAUSE I'M ANGRY - I
AM ANGRY. YOU DO NOT INSULT PEOPLE IN THE
COURTROOM WITHOUT THE PROOF AND YOU GIVE THEM A
CHANCE TO SAY THEY'RE WRONG.

THERE IS NOT ANY WITNESS TAMPERING, THAT'S
JUST DISHONEST AND THE STATE OF LOUISIANA, NOT
SOME PRIVATE PRACTITIONER TO COME IN HERE AND SAY
THAT, IS MORE THAN OFFENSIVE, IT'S WRONG. SECONDLY,
WHO IS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL REPRESENTING? HE
STARTS OFF HIS ARGUMENT ABOUT TWO COMPETITORS
ONE WHOM - ONE OF WHOM, MELIA, IS CHEATING THE

STATE OUT OF THOUSANDS AND THOUSANDS AND
THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS EVERY YEAR, EVERY MONTH
BECAUSE THEY WON'T DO IT IN AN EFFICIENT AND
EFFECTIVE WAY. WHEN DID THEY BECOME RESPONSIBLE
FOR PRIVATE ENTITIES? LET MOLINA COME IN HERE AND
DEFEND ITSELF.

I AM HERE TO TELL YOU I THINK THAT MOLINA IS
BEYOND ALL OF THIS. I THINK THE STATE IS THE
HANDMAIDEN OF ALL OF THIS. I THINK CNSI HAS BEEN
TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF IN A MOST SECRETIVE POINT,
POSSIBLE. THE VERY IDEA THAT THEY FIND OUT THAT
THEY ARE FIRED WITH A PRESS RELEASE THAT SAYS,
BECAUSE OF WRONG DOING, WITHOUT EVER BEING ASKED
BY ANYONE FROM THE STATE OF LOUISIANA, "HEY
FELLAS, THIS IS WHAT WE HEARD. DO YOU HAVE AN
EXPLANATION FOR IT?" TO THIS DAY, THAT IS CONDUCT
WITH EACH I'M UNFAMILIAR, YOUR HONOR, IN LAW OR
JUST REGULAR LIFE. I'M COMPLETELY UNFAMILIAR WITH
THAT.

NOW IF HE COULD MAKE A SHOWING, OKAY. THEN
HE NEEDS TO BRING SOME EVIDENCE AND MAKE IT.
THERE IS NO SECRET COMMUNICATIONS. IF CHRISTY
NICHOLS OR ANY OTHER NON LAW-ENFORCEMENT PERSON
WANTS TO TALK TO THE F.B.I., THAT IS NOT A SECRET
COMMUNICATION. ANY OTHER NON LAW-ENFORCEMENT
PERSON WANTS TO TALK TO THE STATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL, THAT IS NOT A SECRET COMMUNICATION.
INSIDE THE GRAND JURY IN STATE LAW, THAT IS A SECRET
COMMUNICATION. THAT'S THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN
THE FEDERAL AND THE STATE. YOU CAN WALK OUT ON
THE FRONT STEPS OF THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY AND TELL
THE WORLD WHAT YOU SAID. NOW THE U.S. ATTORNEY
CAN'T, BUT YOU CAN IF YOU WANT TO. THE WITNESS